Bernoulli **15**(2), 2009, 508–531 DOI: 10.3150/08-BEJ156

Multifractal scaling of products of birth—death processes

VO V. ANH¹, NIKOLAI N. LEONENKO² and NARN-RUEIH SHIEH³

We investigate the scaling properties of products of the exponential of birth–death processes with certain given marginal discrete distributions and covariance structures. The conditions on the mean, variance and covariance functions of the resulting cumulative processes are interpreted in terms of the moment generating functions. We provide four illustrative examples of Poisson, Pascal, binomial and hypergeometric distributions. We establish the corresponding log-Poisson, log-Pascal, log-binomial and log-hypergeometric scenarios for the limiting processes, including their Rényi functions and dependence properties.

Keywords: geometric birth–death processes; log-binomial scenario; log-Pascal scenario; log-Poisson scenario; multifractal products

1. Introduction

Fully developed turbulence has been characterized by certain universal properties such as the scaling behavior

$$E(v(x+l)-v(x))^q \sim l^{\zeta(q)}$$

across a distance l of the qth-order moment of velocity fluctuations, or

$$\mathrm{E}(\varepsilon_l)^q \sim l^{\tau(q)}$$

for the qth-order moment of locally averaged energy dissipation ε_l over a ball of size l. Kolmogorov's refined similarity hypothesis [20] leads to the relationship (Frisch [11])

$$\zeta(q) = \frac{q}{3} + \tau(q/3).$$

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the ISI/BS in *Bernoulli*, 2009, Vol. 15, No. 2, 508–531. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane QLD 4001, Australia. E-mail: v.anh@qut.edu.au

² Cardiff School of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff CF24 4AG, UK. E-mail: LeonenkoN@Cardiff.ac.uk

³Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan. E-mail: shiehnr@math.ntu.edu.tw

She and Lévêque [30] proposed a scaling model which predicts

$$\zeta(q) = \frac{q}{9} + 2\left[1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{q/3}\right].$$

Dubrulle [8] explored further properties of the model and showed that its probability density function is related to the log-Poisson statistics of local non-dimensional energy dissipation. She and Waymire [31] proposed that the statistics of the inertial range of fully developed turbulence can be described by random multiplicative cascades, showed that these random cascades are log-Poisson and re-established the scaling model of She and Lévêque [30].

This paper will provide a construction of the log-Poisson random cascade and certain other models of the same type, such as log-Pascal, log-binomial and log-hypergeometric cascades, in the framework of multifractal products of birth-death processes. Multifractal products of stochastic processes were defined in Kahane [16, 17] and further investigated in Mannersalo et al. [22]. In the present paper, products of independent rescaled copies of a "mother" process are considered where the mother process is in the form of the exponential of a birth-death process with certain given marginal discrete distribution and covariance structure. The birth-death process is an important example of a homogeneous Markov chain $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ on the state space $S = \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$. Here X(t)can be considered as the size of a population at time t, which fluctuates according to the following rule: If at time t the chain is in a state $i \in S$, in one transition it can go only to i-1 or i+1. The transition from state i to state i+1 indicates a "birth" in the population, whereas the transition from i to i-1 indicates a "death". A classification of birth-death processes was given in Karlin and McGregor [18]. A general theory of birth-death processes can be found in Dynkin and Yuskevich [9], Karlin and Taylor [19] and Bhattacharya and Waymire [6]. For the cases of Poisson, Pascal, binomial and hypergeometric distributions of these processes, we construct log-Poisson, log-Pascal, log-binomial and log-hypergeometric scenarios with non-trivial singularity spectra. Our method is based on an application of proper estimates of the maximal increments of the process in conjunction with the orthogonal expansion of its transition distribution.

There are many constructions of random multiplicative cascades ranging from the simple binomial cascade to measures generated by branching processes and the compound Poisson process (see Kahane [16, 17], Molchan [23], Falconer [10], Barral and Mandelbrot [5], Riedi [28], Mörters and Shieh [24, 25, 26] and Shieh and Taylor [32]). Many of these multifractal models were not designed to cover dependence structure and a natural form of the singularity spectrum (see Novikov [27] and Riedi [28], e.g.). Dependent cascades were first considered in Waymire and Williams [34], [35], including a general theory for Markov dependent cascade generators. Jaffard [15] showed that Lévy processes (except Brownian motion and Poisson process) are multifractal; but since the increments of a Lévy processes have a linear singularity spectrum while real data often exhibit a strictly concave spectrum. In this paper, we pay attention to the strong correlation and nonlinear form of the singularity spectrum of a class of random cascades.

Our exposition relies on some results of Mannersalo et al. [22] on the basic properties of multifractal products of stochastic processes, but we provide a new interpretation of the conditions on the mean, variance and covariance functions of the resulting cumulative processes in terms of the moment generating functions. This interpretation is more useful for our development. We describe the behavior of the qth-order moments and Rényi functions, which are nonlinear, hence displaying the multifractality of the limiting cumulative processes. A property on their dependence structure, leading to their possible long-range dependence, is also obtained. The development of Mannersalo et al. [22] can be applied to a large class of stationary processes such as stationary diffusion processes. As applications, Mannersalo et al. [22] looked at continuous-time two-state Markov processes, while in the present paper we also consider infinite state space and some new scenarios such as those cited above.

2. Multifractal products of stochastic processes

This section recaptures some basic results on multifractal products of stochastic processes as developed in Kahane [16, 17] and Mannersalo *et al.* [22]. We provide a new interpretation of their conditions based on the moment generating functions, which is useful for our exposition.

We introduce the following conditions:

A'. Let $\Lambda^{(i)}(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, $i=1,2,\ldots$, be a sequence of independent, strictly stationary, positive stochastic processes such that, for all $t,t_1,t_2 \in [0,1]$ and $i=0,1,2,\ldots$, the following assumptions hold:

$$\mathbf{E}\Lambda^{(i)}(t) = 1,\tag{2.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\Lambda^{(i)}(t) = \sigma_{\Lambda}^2 < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

$$Cov(\Lambda^{(i)}(t_1), \Lambda^{(i)}(t_2)) = R_{\Lambda}(t_1 - t_2) = \sigma_{\Lambda}^2 \rho_i(t_1 - t_2), \qquad \rho_i(0) = 1.$$
 (2.3)

We consider the following setting:

 \mathbf{A}'' . Let $\Lambda_b^{(i)}$ be independent rescaled copies of some measurable separable mother process Λ , that is,

$$\Lambda_b^{(i)}(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \Lambda^{(i)}(tb^i), \qquad t \in [0, 1], \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the scaling parameter b > 1, $\mathrm{E}\Lambda(t) = 1$, and $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes equality in finite-dimensional distributions.

Moreover, in the examples of Section 4, the stationary mother process satisfies the following conditions:

 \mathbf{A}''' . For $t \in [0,1]$, let $\Lambda(t) = \exp\{X(t)\}$, where X(t) is a stationary process with $\mathrm{E}X^2(t) < \infty$,

$$Cov(X(t_1), X(t_2)) = R_X(t_1 - t_2) = \sigma_X^2 r_X(t_1 - t_2), \qquad r_X(0) = 1.$$

We assume that there exist a marginal probability mass function $p_{\theta}(x)$ and a bivariate probability mass function $p_{\theta}(x_1, x_2; t_1 - t_2)$ such that the moment generating function

$$M(\zeta) = \operatorname{E} \exp{\{\zeta X(t)\}}$$

and the bivariate moment generating function

$$M(\zeta_1, \zeta_2; t_1 - t_2) = \mathbb{E} \exp\{\zeta_1 X(t_1) + \zeta_2 X(t_2)\}\$$

exist. Here, θ is the parameter vector of the mass function of the process X(t).

Under the conditions A'-A''', the assumptions (2.1)-(2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{E}\Lambda_b^{(i)}(t) &= M(1) = 1;\\ \mathrm{Var}\,\Lambda_b^{(i)}(t) &= M(2) - 1 = \sigma_\Lambda^2 < \infty;\\ \mathrm{Cov}(\Lambda_b^{(i)}(t_1), \Lambda_b^{(i)}(t_2)) &= M(1, 1; (t_1 - t_2)b^i) - 1, \ b > 1. \end{split}$$

We define the finite product processes

$$\Lambda_n(t) = \prod_{i=0}^n \Lambda_b^{(i)}(t) = \exp\left\{\sum_{i=0}^n X^{(i)}(tb^i)\right\},\,$$

and the cumulative processes

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \Lambda_n(s) \, ds, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $X^{(i)}(t), i = 0, ..., n, ...$, are independent copies of a stationary process $X(t), t \ge 0$. We also consider the corresponding positive random measures defined on Borel sets B of [0,1]:

$$\mu_n(B) = \int_B \Lambda_n(s) \, ds, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Kahane [17] proved that the sequence of random measures μ_n converges weakly almost surely to a random measure μ . Moreover, given a finite or countable family of Borel sets B_j on [0,1], it holds that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_n(B_j)=\mu(B_j)$ for all j with probability one. The almost sure convergence of $A_n(t)$ in countably many points of [0,1] can be extended to all points in [0,1] if the limit process A(t) is almost surely continuous. In this case, $\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n(t)=A(t)$ with probability one for all $t\in[0,1]$. As noted in Kahane [17], there are two extreme cases: (i) $A_n(t)\to A(t)$ in L_1 for each given t, in which case A(t) is not almost surely zero and is said to be fully active (non-degenerate) on [0,1]; (ii) $A_n(1)$ converges to 0 almost surely, in which case A(t) is said to be degenerate on [0,1]. Sufficient conditions for non-degeneracy and degeneracy in a general situation and relevant examples are provided in Kahane [17] (equations (18) and (19), respectively.) The condition for complete degeneracy is detailed in Theorem 3 of [17].

The Rényi function, also known as the deterministic partition function, is defined as

$$\begin{split} T(q) &= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu^q(I_k^{(n)})}{\log |I_k^{(n)}|} \\ &= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \log_2 \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu^q(I_k^{(n)}), \end{split}$$

where $I_k^{(n)} = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1, |I_k^{(n)}|$ is its length and \log_b is \log_b to the base b.

Remark 1. The multifractal formalism for random cascades can be stated in terms of the Legendre transform of the Rényi function:

$$T^*(\alpha) = \min_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (q\alpha - T(q)).$$

In fact, let $f(\alpha)$ be the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$C_{\alpha} = \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(I_k^{(n)}(t))}{\log |I_k^{(n)}|} = \alpha \right\},\,$$

where $I_k^{(n)}(t)$ is a sequence of intervals $I_k^{(n)}$ that contain t. The function $f(\alpha)$ is known as the singularity spectrum of the measure μ , and we refer to μ as a multifractal measure if $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ for a continuum of α (Lau [21]). In order to determine the function $f(\alpha)$, Hentschel and Procaccia [14], Frisch and Parisi [12] and Halsey et al. [13], for example, proposed to use the relationship

$$f(\alpha) = T^*(\alpha). \tag{2.4}$$

This relationship may not hold for a given measure (see, e.g., Taylor [33]). When the equality (2.4) is established for a measure μ , we say that the multifractal formalism holds for this measure.

Mannersalo et al. [22] presented the conditions for L_2 -convergence and scaling of moments

Theorem 1 (Mannersalo, Norros and Riedi [22]). Suppose that the conditions $\mathbf{A}' - \mathbf{A}'''$ hold

If, for some positive numbers δ and γ ,

$$\exp\{-\delta|\tau|\} \le \rho(\tau) = \frac{M(1,1;\tau) - 1}{M(2) - 1} \le |C\tau|^{-\gamma},\tag{2.5}$$

then $A_n(t)$ converges in L_2 if and only if

$$b > 1 + \sigma_{\Lambda}^2 = M(2).$$

If $A_n(t)$ converges in L_1 , then the limit process A(t) satisfies the recursion

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t \Lambda(s) \, d\tilde{A}(bs), \tag{2.6}$$

where the processes $\Lambda(t)$ and $\tilde{A}(t)$ are independent, and the processes A(t) and $\tilde{A}(t)$ have identical finite-dimensional distributions.

If A(t) is non-degenerate, the recursion (2.6) holds, $A(1) \in L_q$ for some q > 0 and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(q, b^{-n}) < \infty$, where $c(q, t) = \operatorname{E}\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\Lambda^q(0) - \Lambda^q(s)|$, then there exist constants \overline{C} and \underline{C} such that, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$Ct^{q-\log_b \mathrm{E}\Lambda^q(t)} \le \mathrm{E}A^q(t) \le \overline{C}t^{q-\log_b \mathrm{E}\Lambda^q(t)},$$
 (2.7)

which will be written as

$$EA^q(t) \sim t^{q-\log_b E\Lambda^q(t)}$$
.

If, on the other hand, $A(1) \in L_q$, q > 1, then the Rényi function is given by

$$T(q) = q - 1 - \log_b E\Lambda^q(t) = q - 1 - \log_b M(q).$$
 (2.8)

If A(t) is non-degenerate, $A(1) \in L_2$, and $\Lambda(t)$ is positively correlated, then

$$\operatorname{Var} A(t) \ge \operatorname{Var} \int_0^t \Lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
 (2.9)

Hence, if $\int_0^t \Lambda(s) ds$ is strongly dependent, then A(t) is also strongly dependent.

Remark 2. The result (2.7) means that the process A(t), $t \in [0,1]$ with stationary increments behaves as

$$\log E[A(t+\delta) - A(t)]^q \sim K(q) \log \delta + C_q \tag{2.10}$$

for a wide range of resolutions δ with a nonlinear function

$$K(q) = q - \log_b E\Lambda^q(t) = q - \log_b M(q),$$

where C_q is a constant. In this sense, the stochastic process A(t) is said to be multifractal. The function K(q), which contains the scaling parameter b and all the parameters of the marginal distribution of the mother process X(t), can be estimated by running the regression (2.10) for a range of values of q. For the four examples in Section 4, the explicit form of K(q) is obtained. Hence these parameters can be estimated by minimizing the mean square error between the K(q) curve estimated from data and its analytical form for a range of values of q. This method has been used for multifractal characterization of complete genomes in Anh $et\ al.\ [2]$.

3. Geometric birth-death processes

We consider the one-dimensional birth–death process $X = \{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ on the state space $S = \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots\}$. This process is defined by its birth–death rates $\lambda_i, \mu_i, i \in S$ (Karlin and McGregor [18]), that is, X is a Markov process with stationary transition probabilities

$$P_{ij}(t) = P\{X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i\}, \quad i, j \in S,$$

which are independent of s. In addition, we assume that $P_{ij}(t)$ satisfy

$$P_{i,i+1}(h) = \lambda_i h + o(h), \qquad h \to 0, \ i \in S; \tag{3.1}$$

$$P_{i,i-1}(h) = \mu_i h + o(h), \qquad h \to 0, \ i \in S;$$
 (3.2)

$$P_{i,i}(h) = 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)h + o(h), \qquad h \to 0, \ i \in S;$$
 (3.3)

$$P_{i,j}(0) = \delta_i^j;$$
 $P_{-1,-1}(t) = 1,$ $P_{-1,i}(t) = 0,$ $t \ge 0, i \ne -1.$

The order o(h) may depend on i, and thus we will use the notation o(h;i) when it becomes necessary. We assume $\mu_0 \ge 0, \lambda_0 > 0, \lambda_i, \mu_i > 0, i \ge 1$.

If $\mu_0 > 0$, then we have an absorbing state -1; once the process enters -1, it can never leave it. If $\mu_0 = 0$, we have a reflecting state 0. After entering 0, the process will always go back to state 1 after some time. In this case, the state -1 can never be reached and so can be ignored, and we take $S = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

We may then suppose that $\mu_0 = 0$, so that the state -1 is ignored. We assume that

$$\pi := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k < \infty, \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k \pi_k} = \infty,$$

where the potential coefficients π_i are given by

$$\pi_i = \frac{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{i-1}}{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_i}, \qquad i \ge 1, \pi_0 = 1,$$

so that the process is ergodic (see Karlin and Magregor [18], Theorem 2). Then,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_{i,j}(t) := p_j = \frac{\pi_j}{\pi}, \qquad j \ge 0,$$

exist and are independent of the initial state i. We write the stationary distribution as $P = (p_j, j = 0, 1, 2, ...)$. The infinitesimal generator \mathcal{A} of the process is given by

$$Af(i) = \lambda_i f(i+1) - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) f(i) + \mu_i f(i-1)$$

for all bounded real-valued functions f.

We denote by $l_2(\mathbb{N}, P)$ the Hilbert space of functions $f(j), j = 0, 1, \ldots$, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f^2(j)p_j < \infty.$$

The spectral analysis of birth–death processes is based on the birth–death polynomials $Q_n(x), n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$ which are defined by the recursive relations

$$-xQ_n(x) = \mu_n Q_{n-1}(x) - (\lambda_n + \mu_n)Q_n(x) + \lambda_n Q_{n+1}(x), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (3.4)

with $Q_{-1}(x) = 0$, $Q_0(x) = 1$. Karlin and McGregor [18] proved that there exists a positive Borel measure $\phi(dx)$ with total mass 1 and with support on the non-negative real numbers, called the spectral measure of the process, such that the transition probabilities are represented in the spectral form as

$$P_{i,j}(t) = \pi_j \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} Q_i(x) Q_j(x) \phi(\mathrm{d}x).$$

The polynomials $Q_n(x)$ are orthogonal with respect to ϕ . For certain choices of birth-death parameters, we can apply the theory of classical orthogonal polynomials with respect to discrete distributions. In the case $\mu_0 = 0$, which we assume in this paper, we have $Q_n(0) = 1, n \ge 0$ and that 0 is ϕ -atomic; the stationary distribution P is then given by $p_j = \pi_j \phi(\{0\})$.

The following proposition plays a key role in our exposition. We note that the assumptions (ii) and (iii) in Section 4 of Mannersalo et al. [22] generally do not hold in the case that the state space S is infinite; indeed their assumption (ii) assumes that the jump rate $\nu(x)$ is uniformly bounded from below and from above for all x; this assumption is not suitable for an infinite state space, as our present Section 4 shows. We also remark that the condition (3.5) below, which is needed for the validity of Proposition 1 for an infinite state space, may not be the best, and the possibility of improving it is left for a future study (we thank the referee for noticing this). In the following, E^P denotes expectation with respect to the stationary distribution P.

Proposition 1. We assume, besides the strict stationarity, that the small order of magnitude in the transition probabilities (3.1)–(3.3) is such that $o(h;k) = k^{\delta}o(h;1)$ for all k = 1, 2, 3, ..., for some $\delta > 0$. Moreover, we assume that

$$S_q := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k (e^{qk} (\lambda_k + \mu_k) + k^{\delta}) < \infty, \qquad q \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (3.5)

Let $g(x) := e^{q(x-c)}$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any b > 1,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E^{P} \left(\max_{s \in [0, b^{-n}]} |g(X(s)) - g(X(0))| \right) < \infty.$$
 (3.6)

Proof. We first note that

$$E^{P}[\cdot] = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k} \pi_{k} E(\cdot | X(0) = k).$$
 (3.7)

Since the process is cadlag and integer-valued, we have, for each t > 0,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\bigg(\max_{0 < s \le t} |g(X(s)) - g(X(0))| \mid X(0) = k\bigg) \\ & \le \mathbb{E}\bigg(\sum_{0 < s < t, \Delta X_s \ne 0} |g(X(s)) - g(X(s-))| \mid X(0) = k\bigg), \end{split}$$

where $\Delta X_s = X(s) - X(s-), 0 < s \le t$, and those s for which $\Delta X_s \ne 0$ are countably many. The defining property of birth-death processes implies that

$$P\{\Delta X_s \neq 0 | X(s-)\} \leq const \times (\lambda_{X(s-)} + \mu_{X(s-)}),$$

where the constant is taken to be the expected jump time +1, which is independent of s. We note that, by the definition of $g(\cdot)$,

$$0 < |g(X(s)) - g(X(s-))| \le e^{qh} \max\{|e^q - 1|, |1 - e^{-q}|\}\$$

when X(s-) = h and $\Delta X_s \neq 0$. As $t \downarrow 0$, the joint probabilities of $\{X(s-) = h, X(0) = k\}$, h varying over $S - \{k\}$, are all of order o(t;k), except when h = k+1 and h = k-1, in which case they are of order $\lambda_k t$ and $\mu_k t$, respectively. Therefore, for large n and by the strong Markov property of the process, which starts afresh at each jump time, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{0 < s \le b^{-n}} |g(X(s)) - g(X(0))| \mid X(0) = k\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{0 < s \le t, \Delta X_s \ne 0} |g(X(s)) - g(X(s-))| \mid X(0) = k\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{0 < s \le t, \Delta X_s \ne 0} \mathbb{E}(|g(X(s)) - g(X(s-))| \mid X(s-)) \mid X(0) = k\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{E}_{X(0) = k}\left(\sum_{0 < s \le b^{-n}, \Delta X_s \ne 0} \mathbb{E}(|g(X(s)) - g(X(s-))| \mid X(s-) = k \pm 1)\right) \\
+ o(b^{-n}; k) \\
\leq C_q(e^{qk}(\lambda_k + \mu_k) + k^{\delta})b^{-n}.$$

In the above inequalities, we have arranged, without indexing explicitly, the jump times in successively increasing order, and have made use of the assumption $o(h;k) = k^{\delta}o(h;1)$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ We note that the expected number of the jumps is at most 1 on $[0, b^{-n}]$, and thus the summation of jumps in the above is indeed reduced to one term only. In view of the conditional expectation formula (3.7) and the definition of S_q , which

we assume to be finite, we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}^{P} \left(\max_{s \in [0, b^{-n}]} |g(X(s)) - g(X(0))| \right) \le (C_q \cdot S_q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^{-n}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Remark 3. We usually consider q > 0, in which case the finiteness of S_q depends on the growth of the sequences λ_k, μ_k . We have included here the case $q \le 0$ since it incurs no additional difficulty.

4. Multifractal scenarios

This section introduces four illustrative multifractal scenarios. The mother process will take the form $\Lambda(t) = \exp\{X(t) - c_X\}$, where X(t) is a stationary birth–death process and c_X is a constant depending on the parameters of its marginal distribution. This form is needed for the condition $\mathrm{E}\Lambda(t) = 1$ to hold.

4.1. Log-Poisson scenario

The log-Poisson statistics in fully developed turbulence were discussed in Dubrulle [8] and She and Waymire [31]. In this section, we provide a related model, namely the log-Poisson scenario for multifractal products of stochastic processes.

B'. Consider a mother process of the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp\left\{X(t) - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}(e-1)\right\},\tag{4.1}$$

where X(t), $t \ge 0$ is a stationary birth–death process with marginal Poisson distribution $\operatorname{Poi}(\frac{\lambda}{u}), \lambda > 0, \mu > 0$, and rates

$$\lambda_n = \lambda, \qquad \mu_n = \mu n, \qquad n \ge 0.$$

The covariance function of the process X(t) then takes the form

$$R_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} r_X(t), \qquad r_X(t) = e^{-\mu t}.$$

Under condition \mathbf{B}' , we obtain the following moment generating function:

$$\begin{split} M(\zeta) &= \mathrm{E} \exp \biggl\{ \zeta \biggl(X(t) - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} (\mathrm{e} - 1) \biggr) \biggr\} \\ &= \exp \biggl\{ -\zeta \frac{\lambda}{\mu} (\mathrm{e} - 1) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} (\mathrm{e}^{\zeta} - 1) \biggr\}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$

It turns out that, in this case

$$\log_b \mathrm{E} \Lambda^q(t) = \frac{-q \lambda/\mu(\mathrm{e}-1) + \lambda/\mu(\mathrm{e}^q - 1)}{\log b}, \qquad q > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

We can formulate the following:

Theorem 2. Suppose that condition B' holds. Then, for any

$$b > \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}(e-1)^2\right\},$$

the stochastic processes

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \prod_{i=0}^n \Lambda^{(i)}(sb^i) ds, \qquad t \in [0,1],$$

converge in L_2 to the stochastic process A(t), $t \in [0,1]$ as $n \to \infty$ such that, if $A(1) \in L_q$ for $q \in (0,\infty)$,

$$EA^{q}(t) \sim t^{q(1+\lambda/(\mu \log b)(e-1))-(1/\log b)(\lambda/\mu)(e^{q}-1)},$$

and the Rényi function is given by

$$T(q) = q\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu \log b}(e - 1)\right) - \frac{1}{\log b}\frac{\lambda}{\mu}(e^q - 1) - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Var} A(t) \ge \int_0^t \int_0^t (e^{\lambda/\mu e^{-\mu|u-v|}} - 1) \, du \, dv \ge 2t \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \frac{(1 - e^{-\mu t}) + 1 - e^{-\mu t}(\mu t + 1)}{\mu^2}.$$

Proof. We have $\delta = 1$, and

$$\pi_k \leq const \times \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k.$$

Thus,

$$S_q := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k (e^{qk} (\lambda_k + \mu_k) + k)$$

$$\leq const \times \left(\lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} e^q \right)^k + \mu \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} e^q \right)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^k \right) < \infty$$

for all positive λ, μ, q . The condition for the inequalities (2.7) of Theorem 1 to hold then follows from Proposition 1. Now we consider the correlation decay and show that the

condition (2.5) of Theorem 1 holds. To see this, we consider the mother process

$$\Lambda(t) = G(X(t)), \qquad G(u) = \exp \left\{ u - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} (\mathrm{e} - 1) \right\}$$

as a nonlinear transformation of the Markov process $X(t), t \in [0,1]$ which has marginal distribution

$$p_j = P(X(t) = j) = \frac{e^{-\lambda/\mu} (\lambda/\mu)^j}{j!}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $C_n(j; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, be Charlier polynomials, defined via the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \left(j; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = e^z \left(1 - \frac{z\mu}{\lambda} \right)^j, \qquad 0 < z < \frac{\mu}{\lambda}$$

(see, e.g., Chihara [7] and Schoutens [29]). These polynomials form a complete system of orthogonal polynomials in the Hilbert space $l_2(\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Poi}(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}))$. In this case, the recursive equation (3.4) for birth–death polynomials $Q_n(x) = C_n(\frac{x}{\mu}, \frac{\lambda}{\mu})$ is the recursive equation for the Charlier polynomials:

$$0 = nC_{n-1}\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) + \left(x - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - n\right)C_n\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu}C_{n+1}\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \qquad n \ge 0,$$

where

$$C_0\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) = 1, \qquad C_{-1}\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) = 0.$$

Then, the following expansion of the bivariate distribution holds:

$$P(X(t) = j, X(s) = k) = p_j p_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\mu n|t-s|} C_n\left(j; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) C_n\left(k; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^n}{n!}.$$

Note that

$$G(u) \in l_2\left(\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Poi}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\right),$$

since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{2(j-(\lambda/\mu)(\mathrm{e}-1))} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda/\mu} \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^j}{j!} = \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{\mu} (\mathrm{e}-1)^2\right\} < \infty.$$

We also note that G(u) has the expansion

$$G(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k C_k \left(u; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) \frac{1}{d_k}, \qquad d_k^2 = \frac{k! \mu^k}{\lambda^k},$$

$$a_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_k \left(n; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) e^{-\lambda/\mu} \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^n}{n!} e^{n - (\lambda/\mu)(e-1)} \frac{1}{d_k},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda/\mu} \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^k}{k!} e^{2(k - (\lambda/\mu)(e-1))} < \infty.$$

Taking into account the orthogonality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_n \left(j; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) C_m \left(j; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) e^{-\lambda/\mu} \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^j}{j!} = \delta_n^m d_n^2, \qquad n, m \ge 1,$$

where δ_k^j is the Kronecker delta function, we obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{d_k} C_k \left(X(t); \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right).$$

Thus,

$$R_{\Lambda}(t,s) = \operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda(t), \Lambda(s)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} e^{-k\mu|t|},$$

and

$$e^{-\mu|\tau|} \frac{a_1^2}{d_1^2} \le R_{\Lambda}(\tau) \le e^{-\mu|\tau|} \sum_{k>1}^{\infty} \frac{C_k^2}{d_k^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

4.2. Log-Pascal scenario

B". Consider a mother process of the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp\{X(t) - c_X\}, \qquad c_X = \beta \log \frac{1 - \lambda/\mu}{1 - (1 - \lambda/\nu)e^{\mu - \lambda}},$$
(4.3)

where $X(t),\,t\geq 0$ is a stationary birth–death process with marginal Pascal-type distribution $\mathrm{Pas}(\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu})$:

$$p_j = P(X(0) = (\mu - \lambda)j) = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ 0 < \lambda < \mu, \ \beta > 0,$$

and rates

$$\lambda_n = (n+\beta)\lambda, \qquad \mu_n = \mu n.$$

In the above expression for p_j , we have used the Pochhammer symbol(β)_j := $\beta \cdot (\beta + 1) \cdots (\beta + j - 1)$, $j \ge 1$, $(\beta)_0 = 1$. We note that

$$\pi_j = \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^j, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore the sum S_q in Proposition 1 is finite when $q < \log(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})$. Note that

$$EX(t) = (\mu - \lambda)\beta \frac{\lambda}{\mu} / \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \quad \text{Var } X(t) = (\mu - \lambda)^2 \beta \frac{\lambda}{\mu} / \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^2,$$

$$R_X(t) = \text{Var } X(t)r_X(t), \quad r_X(t) = e^{-\mu t}, \ t \ge 0.$$

Under condition \mathbf{B}'' , we obtain the following moment generating function:

$$M(\zeta) = \operatorname{E} \exp\{\zeta X(t)\} = \left(\frac{1 - \lambda/\mu}{1 - (1 - \lambda/\mu)e^{\zeta(\mu - \lambda)}}\right)^{\beta}, \qquad 0 < \zeta < -\log\frac{\lambda}{\mu}/(\mu - \lambda).$$

We can formulate the following:

Theorem 3. Suppose that condition B'' holds. Then, for any

$$b > e^{-2c_X} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda/\mu}{1 - (1 - \lambda/\mu)e^{2(\mu - \lambda)}} \right)^{\beta},$$

the stochastic processes

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \prod_{j=0}^n \Lambda^{(j)}(sb^j) ds, \qquad t \in [0, 1],$$

converge in L_2 to the stochastic process $A(t), t \in [0,1]$ as $n \to \infty$ such that, if $A(1) \in L_q$ for $q \in (0, \min\{\log \frac{\mu}{\lambda}, -\log \frac{\lambda}{\mu}/(\mu - \lambda)\})$,

$$EA^q(t) \sim t^{K(q)},$$

where

$$K(q) = q \left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b} \right) + \frac{1}{\log b} \log \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) e^{q(\mu - \lambda)} \right) - \beta \frac{\log(1 - \lambda/\mu)}{\log b},$$

and the Rényi function is given by

$$T(q) = q\left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b}\right) + \frac{1}{\log b}\log\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)e^{q(\mu - \lambda)}\right) - \beta\frac{\log(1 - \lambda/\mu)}{\log b} - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var} A(t) & \geq \int_0^t \int_0^t (\mathrm{e}^{\operatorname{Var} X(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mu |u-v|}} - 1) \, \mathrm{d} u \, \mathrm{d} v \\ & \geq 2t (\mu - \lambda)^2 \beta \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \frac{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mu t}) + 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mu t} (\mu t + 1)}{\mu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have again $\delta = 1$. In view of $q < \log(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})$ and

$$S_q \leq const \times \sum_{k} k \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} e^q\right)^k$$
,

the condition for the inequalities (2.7) of Theorem 1 to hold then follows from Proposition 1. We now show that the condition (2.5) of Theorem 1 holds. For this purpose, we consider the mother process

$$\Lambda(t) = G(X(t)), \qquad G(u) = \exp\{u - c_X\}$$

as a nonlinear transformation of the Markov process $X(t), t \in [0, 1]$, which has marginal distribution $p_j \sim \operatorname{Pas}(\beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu})$ and the following bivariate distribution expansion:

$$P(X(t) = j, X(s) = k) = p_j p_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu n|t-s|}}{d_n} M_n \left(j; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) M_n \left(k; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right),$$
$$d_n^2 = \frac{n!}{(\lambda/\mu)^n (\beta)_n},$$

where $M_n(j; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}), n = 0, 1, \dots$ are Meixner polynomials, defined via the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta)_n M_n \left(j; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = (1-z)^{-j-\beta} \left(1 - \frac{z\mu}{\lambda} \right)^j, \qquad 0 < z < \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

(see, e.g., Chihara [7] and Schoutens [29]). The recursive equation for the birth–death polynomial (3.4) becomes in this case the recursive equation for the Meixner polynomials:

$$-jM_n\left(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) = b_n M_{n+1}\left(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) + \gamma_n M_n\left(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) + c_n M_{n-1}\left(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right), \qquad n \ge 0,$$

where

$$M_{-1}\bigg(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\bigg)=0, \qquad M_0\bigg(j;\beta,\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\bigg)=1,$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}(n+\beta)\frac{1}{1-\lambda/\mu}, \qquad \gamma_n = -\frac{n+(\lambda/\mu)(n+\beta)}{1-\lambda/\mu}, \qquad c_n = \frac{n}{1-\lambda/\mu}.$$

We note that

$$G(u) \in l_2\left(\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Pas}\left(\beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\right)$$

since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{2(j-c_X)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^j < \infty.$$

We also note that G(u) has the expansion

$$\begin{split} G(u) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k M_k \bigg(u; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg) \frac{1}{d_k}, \\ a_k &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_k \bigg(n; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg) \bigg(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_n}{n!} \bigg(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg)^n \mathrm{e}^{n - c_X}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{2(j - c_X)} \bigg(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \bigg(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \bigg)^j < \infty. \end{split}$$

Taking into account the orthogonality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M_n \left(j; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) M_m \left(j; \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^j = \delta_n^m d_n^2, \qquad n, m \ge 1,$$

we obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k M_k \left(X(t); \beta, \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) \frac{1}{d_k},$$

$$R_{\Lambda}(t, s) = \text{Cov}(\Lambda(t), \Lambda(s)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} e^{-k\mu|t|}$$

and

$$e^{-\mu|\tau|} \frac{a_1^2}{d_1^2} \le R_{\Lambda}(\tau) \le e^{-\mu|\tau|} \sum_{k\ge 1}^{\infty} \frac{M_k^2}{d_k^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 4. For $\lambda > \mu$, the birth–death polynomials are again given in terms of the Meixner polynomials:

$$Q_n(j) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^n M_n\left(\frac{j}{\lambda - \mu} - \beta; \beta, \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the stationary Markov process has the stationary Pascal-type distribution of the form

$$p_j = P(X(0) = (\lambda - \mu)(j + \beta)) = \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{\beta} \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^j, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

so that the conditions of Propostion 1 are satisfied for $0 < q < \log \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$. Thus, a scenario of the log-Pascal type can be produced for the geometric process $X(t), t \ge 0$ by using again the orthogonality of the Meixner polynomials. We omit the details.

For $\lambda = \mu$, the birth–death polynomials are given in terms of Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\beta-1)}(\frac{x}{\lambda}), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, as

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{n!}{(\beta)_n} L_n^{(\beta-1)} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

These polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the gamma density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\beta} \Gamma(\lambda)} x^{\beta - 1} e^{-x/\lambda}, \qquad x \ge 0.$$

The corresponding log-gamma scenario can be produced, in principle, similarly to that given in Anh, Leonenko and Shieh [[3], [4]], but a proper interpretation is lacking in this case.

4.3. Log-binomial scenario

 \mathbf{B}''' . Consider a mother process of the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp\{X(t) - c_X\}, \qquad c_X = N\log(p(e-1) + 1), \tag{4.4}$$

where X(t), $t \ge 0$ is a stationary birth–death process with finite state space $S = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$, marginal binomial distribution Bin(N, p):

$$p_j = P(X(0) = j) = {N \choose j} {N \choose j} p^j (1-p)^{N-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N, \ 0$$

and rates

$$\lambda_n = (N-n)p, \qquad \mu_n = n(1-p), \qquad 0 \le n \le N.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}X(t) &= Np, & \operatorname{Var}X(t) &= Np(1-p), \\ R_X(t) &= \operatorname{Var}X(t)r_X(t), & r_X(t) &= \mathrm{e}^{-t}, t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Under condition \mathbf{B}''' , we obtain the following moment generating function:

$$M(\zeta) = \mathbb{E} \exp{\{\zeta X(t)\}} = (pe^{\zeta} + 1 - p)^N, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$$

We can formulate the following:

Theorem 4. Suppose that condition \mathbf{B}''' holds. Then, for any

$$b > \frac{(p(e^2 - 1) + 1)^N}{(p(e - 1) + 1)^{2N}},$$

the stochastic processes

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \prod_{j=0}^n \Lambda^{(j)}(sb^j) ds, \qquad t \in [0,1]$$

converge in L_2 to the stochastic process A(t), $t \in [0,1]$ as $n \to \infty$ such that, if $A(1) \in L_q$ for $q \in (0,\infty)$,

$$EA^q(t) \sim t^{K(q)},$$

where

$$K(q) = q\left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b}\right) - \frac{N}{\log b}\log(p(e^q - 1) + 1),$$

and the Rényi function is given by

$$T(q) = q\left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b}\right) - \frac{N}{\log b}\log(p(e^q - 1) + 1) - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Var} A(t) \ge \int_0^t \int_0^t \exp(\operatorname{Var} X(t) e^{-\mu|u-v|} - 1) \, du \, dv$$

$$\ge 2t N p(1-p)((1-e^{-t}) + 1 - e^{-t}(t+1)).$$

Proof. The condition for the inequalities (2.7) of Theorem 1 to hold follows from Proposition 1 since this is a process with a finite number of states, and thus S_q is finite for all q. Regarding the condition (2.5) of Theorem 1, we consider the mother process

$$\Lambda(t) = G(X(t)), \qquad G(u) = \exp\{u - c_X\}$$

as a nonlinear transformation of the Markov process $X(t), t \in [0, 1]$, which has marginal distribution $p_i \sim \text{Bin}(N, p)$ and the following bivariate distribution expansion:

$$P(X(t) = j, X(s) = k) = p_j p_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n|t-s|} K_n(j; N, p) K_n(k; N, p) \frac{1}{d_n},$$

$$d_n^2 = \frac{(-1)^n n! ((1-p)/p)^n}{(-N)_n},$$

where $K_n(j; N, p), n = 0, 1, ...$, are Krawtchouk polynomials (see, e.g., Chihara [7] and Schoutens [29]). The recursive equation for the birth–death polynomial (3.4) becomes in this case the recursive equation for the Krawtchouk polynomials:

$$-jK_n(j; N, p) = b_n K_{n+1}(j; N, p) + \gamma_n K_n(j; N, p) + c_n K_{n-1}(j; N, p), \qquad n \ge 0,$$

$$K_{-1}(j; N, p) = 0,$$
 $K_{0}(j; N, p) = 1,$

and

$$b_n = p(N-n), \qquad \gamma_n = -(p(N-n) + n(1-p)), \qquad c_n = n(1-p).$$

Note that

$$G(u) \in l_2(\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Bin}(N, p)),$$

since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} e^{2(j-c_X)} \binom{N}{j} p^j (1-p)^{N-j} < \infty.$$

We also note that G(u) has the expansion

$$G(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k K_n(j; N, p) \frac{1}{d_k},$$

$$a_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n(j; N, p) \binom{N}{j} p^j (1-p)^{N-j} e^{n-c_X},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} e^{2(j-c_X)} \binom{N}{j} p^j (1-p)^{N-j} < \infty.$$

Taking into account the orthogonality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} K_n(j; N, p) K_m(j; N, p) \binom{N}{j} p^j (1-p)^{N-j} = \delta_n^m d_n^2, \qquad n, m \ge 1,$$

we obtain

$$\Lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{d_k} K_k(X(t); N, p),$$

$$R_{\Lambda}(t,s) = \operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda(t), \Lambda(s)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2} e^{-k|t|}$$

and

$$e^{-|\tau|} \frac{a_1^2}{d_1^2} \le R_{\Lambda}(\tau) \le e^{-|\tau|} \sum_{k>1}^{\infty} \frac{K_k^2}{d_k^2}.$$

This completes the proof.

4.4. Log-hypergeometric scenario

This subsection considers a birth–death process with quadratic rates. The hypergeometric function is meant to have the power series

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}(b)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!} = 1 + \frac{ab}{c}z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \cdots,$$

where z is a complex variable and a, b, c are parameters that can take arbitrary real or complex values provided that $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ (see Abramowitz and Stegun [1] for details).

B''''. Consider a mother process of the form

$$\Lambda(t) = \exp\{X(t) - c_X\}, \qquad c_X = \log \frac{\binom{h}{N}}{\binom{g+h}{N}} {}_2F_1(-N, -g; h - N; e), \tag{4.5}$$

where X(t), $t \ge 0$ is a stationary birth–death process with finite state space $S = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$, marginal hypergeometric distribution Hyp(N, g, h):

$$p_j = P(X(t) = j) = \frac{\binom{g}{j}\binom{h}{N-j}}{\binom{a+b}{N}}, \quad j = 0, \dots, N, \ g, h \ge N,$$

and rates

$$\lambda_n = (N - n)(g - n), \qquad \mu_n = n(h - (N - n)), \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Note that

$$EX(t) = \frac{Ng}{g+h}, \quad Var X(t) = \frac{N(g/(g+h))(1-g/(g+h))(g+h-N)}{a+b-1},$$

$$R_X(t) = \text{Var } X(t)r_X(t), \qquad r_X(t) = e^{-t}, \ t \ge 0.$$

Under condition $\mathbf{B}^{""}$, we obtain the following moment generating function:

$$M(\zeta) = \operatorname{E} \exp\{\zeta X(t)\} = \frac{\binom{g}{N}}{\binom{g+h}{N}} {}_{2}F_{1}(-N, -g; h-N; e^{\zeta}), \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$$

We can formulate the following:

Theorem 5. Suppose that condition B'''' holds. Then, for any

$$b > \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}(-N, -g; h - N; e^{2})}{\binom{h}{N}/\binom{g+h}{N}} [{}_{2}F_{1}(-N, -g; h - N; e^{2})]^{2},$$

the stochastic processes

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \prod_{j=0}^n \Lambda^{(j)}(sb^j) ds, \qquad t \in [0, 1],$$

converge in L_2 to the stochastic process A(t), $t \in [0,1]$ as $n \to \infty$ such that, if $A(1) \in L_q$ for $q \in (0,\infty)$,

$$EA^q(t) \sim t^{K(q)}$$
,

where

$$K(q) = q \left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b} \right) - \frac{N}{\log b} \log({}_{2}F_{1}(-N, -g; h - N; e^{q})) - \log \frac{\binom{h}{N}}{\binom{g+h}{N}},$$

and the Rényi function is given by

$$T(q) = q \left(1 + \frac{c_X}{\log b} \right) - \frac{N}{\log b} \log({}_{2}F_{1}(-N, -g; h - N; \mathbf{e}^{q})) - \log \frac{\binom{h}{N}}{\binom{g + h}{N}} - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Var} A(t) \ge \int_0^t \int_0^t \exp(\operatorname{Var} X(t) e^{-|u-v|} - 1) \, \mathrm{d} u \, \mathrm{d} v$$

$$\ge 2t \frac{N(g/g + h)(1 - g/(g + h))(g + h - N)}{g + h - 1}$$

$$\times \frac{(1 - e^{-((g+h+1)/2)t} + 1 - e^{-((g+h+1)/2)t}(((g + h + 1)/2)t + 1)}{((g + h + 1)/2)^2}.$$

Proof. The condition for the inequalities (2.7) of Theorem 1 to hold follows from Proposition 1 since this is a process with a finite number of states, and thus S_q is finite for all q. In order to show that the condition (2.5) of Theorem 1 holds, we consider the mother process

$$\Lambda(t) = G(X(t)), \qquad G(u) = \exp\{u - c_X\}$$

as a nonlinear transformation of the Markov process $X(t), t \in [0, 1]$, which has marginal distribution $p_i \sim \text{Hyp}(g, h, N)$ and the following bivariate distribution expansion:

$$P(X(t) = j, X(s) = k) = p_j p_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n)|t-s|} R_i(\lambda(n); g, h, N,) R_j(\lambda(n); g, h, N) \rho_n,$$

$$\lambda(n) = n(n-g-h-1),$$

$$\rho_n = \frac{\binom{N-h-1}{N}(-N)_n N! (-g)_n (2n-g-h-1)}{(-1)^n n! (-h)_n (n-g-h-1)_{N+1}},$$

where R_i are the dual Hahn polynomials defined by

$$R_i(\lambda(n)) = R_i(\lambda(n); g, h, N) = Q_n(i, g, h, N) = Q_n(i),$$

where $Q_n(i, g, h, N)$, n = 0, 1, 2, ..., are Hahn polynomials defined by the following recurrent relation:

$$-xQ_n(x) = hQ_{n+1}(x) - (b_n + c_n)Q_n(x) + c_nQ_{n-1}(x), \qquad Q_{-1}(x) = 0, \qquad Q_0(x) = 1,$$

where

$$b_n = \frac{(n+g+h+1)(n+g+1)(N-n)}{(2n+g+h+2)(2n+g+h+1)}, \qquad c_n = \frac{n(n+h)(n+g+h+N+1)}{(2n+g+h)(2n+g+h+1)}$$

(see, e.g., Chihara [7] and Schoutens [29]). Note that

$$G(u) \in l_2(\mathbb{N}, \operatorname{Hyp}(g, h, N)).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of the previous theorems using the orthogonality of Hahn polynomials and expansion of the function $G(X(t)) = e^{X(t)-c_X}$ into a series of Hahn polynomials with coefficients $a_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, but in this case

$$\max_{n} \lambda(n) = \max_{n} \{n(n-g-h-1)\}$$

is achieved for

$$n_{\max} = \left(\frac{g+h+1}{2}\right),\,$$

and

$$e^{-|\tau|} \frac{a_1^2}{d_1^2} \le R_{\Lambda}(\tau) \le e^{-|\tau|n_{\max}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^2}{d_k^2},$$

for $d_k = 1/\sqrt{\rho_k}$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

Partially supported by the Australian Research Council Grant DP0559807, the National Science Foundation Grant DMS-04-17676, the EPSRC Grant RCMT119 and the Taiwan NSC Grant 962115M002005MY3. Leonenko's research was partially supported by the Welsh Institute of Mathematics and Computational Sciences. The authors wish to thank the referee for pointing out some obscurities in the previous version and for many constructive suggestions.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I.A., eds. (1972). *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. New York: Dover.
- [2] Anh, V.V., Lau, K.-S. and Yu, Z.-G. (2001). Multifractal characterization of complete genomes, J. Physics A Math. General 34 7127–7139. MR1862760
- [3] Anh, V.V., Leonenko, N.N. and Shieh, N.-R. (2008). Multifractality of products of geometric Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* To appear.
- [4] Anh, V.V., Leonenko, N.N. and Shieh, N.-R. (2008). Multifractal products of stationary diffusion processes. Stoch. Anal. Appl. (To appear.).
- [5] Barral, J. and Mandelbrot, B. (2002). Multiplicative products of cylindrical pulses. Probab. Theory Related Fields 124 409–430. MR1939653
- [6] Bhattacharya, R. and Waymire, E. (1992). Stochastic Processes and Applications. New York: Wiley. MR1054645
- [7] Chihara, T.S. (1978). An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials. New York: Gordon and Breach. MR0481884
- [8] Dubrulle, B. (1994). Intermittency in fully developed turbulence: Log-Poisson statistics and generalized scale covariance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73 959–996.
- [9] Dynkin, E.B. and Yushkevich, A.A. (1969). Markov Processes: Theorems and Problems. New York: Plenum Press.
- [10] Falconer, K. (1997). Techniques in Fractal Geometry. Chichester: Wiley. MR1449135
- [11] Frisch, U. (1995). Turbulence. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press. MR1428905
- [12] Frisch, U. and Parisi, G. (1985). On the singularity structure of fully developed turbulence. International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi" Course 88 84–88. North Holland.
- [13] Halsey, T., Jensen, M., Kadanoff, L., Procaccia, I. and Shraiman, B. (1986). Fractal measures and their singularities: The characterization of strange sets. *Phys. Rev. A* 33 1141–1151. MR0823474
- [14] Hentschel, H. and Procaccia, I. (1983). The infinite number of generalized dimensions of fractals and strange attractors. *Physica* 80 435–444. MR0719636
- [15] Jaffard, S. (1999). The multifractal nature of Lévy processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields 114 207–227. MR1701520
- [16] Kahane, J.-P. (1985). Sur la chaos multiplicatif. Ann. Sc. Math. Québec 9 105–150. MR0829798
- [17] Kahane, J.-P. (1987). Positive martingale and random measures. Chinese Ann. Math. 8B 1–12. MR0886744
- [18] Karlin, S. and McGregor, J.L. (1957). The classification of birth-death processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 366-400. MR0094854
- [19] Karlin, S. and Taylor, H. (1977). A First Course in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press.
- [20] Kolmogorov, A.N. (1962). On refinement of previous hypotheses concerning the local structure in viscous incompressible fluid at high Reynolds number. J. Fluid Mech. 13 82–85. MR0139329
- [21] Lau, K.S. (1999). Iterated function systems with overlaps and multifractal structure. In Trends in Probability and Related Analysis (N. Kono and N.-R. Shieh, eds.) 35–76. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific. MR1819196
- [22] Mannersalo, P., Norros, I. and Riedi, R. (2002). Multifractal products of stochastic processes: Construction and some basic properties. Adv. Appl. Probab. 34 888–903. MR1938947

- [23] Molchan, M. (1996). Scaling exponents and multifractal dimensions for independent random cascades. Comm. Math. Phys. 179 681–702. MR1400758
- [24] Mörters, P. and Shieh, N.-R. (2002). Thin and thick points for branching measure on a Galton–Watson tree. Statist. Probab. Lett. 58 13-22. MR1900336
- [25] Mörters, P. and Shieh, N.-R. (2004). On multifractal spectrum of the branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree, J. Appl. Probab. 41 1223-1229. MR2122818
- [26] Mörters, P. and Shieh, N.-R. (2008). Multifractal analysis of branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree. In Proc. 3rd International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians, Hong Kong (K.S. Lau, Z.P. Xin and S.T. Yau, eds.). AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 42 655-662.
- [27] Novikov, E.A. (1994). Infinitely divisible distributions in turbulence. Phys. Rev. E 50 R3303–R3305.
- [28] Riedi, R. (2003). Multifractal processes. In Theory and Applications of Long-Range Dependence (P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim and M. Taqqu, eds.) 625–716. Boston: Birkhäuser. MR1957510
- [29] Schoutens, W. (2000). Stochastic Processes and Orthogonal Polinomials. New York: Springer. MR1761401
- [30] She, Z.S. and Lévêque, E. (1994). Universal scaling laws in fully developed turbulence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 336–339.
- [31] She, Z.S. and Waymire, E.C. (1995). Quantized energy cascade and log-Poisson statistics in fully developed turbulence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 262–265.
- [32] Shieh, N.-R. and Taylor, S.J. (2002). Multifractal spectra of branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree. J. Appl. Probab. 39 100-111. MR1895157
- [33] Taylor, S. J. (1995). Super Brownian motion is a fractal measure for which the multifractal formalism is invalid. Fractals 3 737–746. MR1410292
- [34] Waymire, E. and Williams, S. (1996). A cascade decomposition theory with applications to Markov and exchangeable cascades. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 585–632. MR1322959
- [35] Waymire, E. and Williams, S. (1997). Markov cascades. In Classical and Modern Branching Processes (R.B. Athreya and P. Jagers, eds.) 305–321. New York: Springer. MR1601698

Received December 2007 and revised June 2008